


(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 555 /2001

PETITIONER : Loknath
S/o Hira Prasad,
aged about 26 years,
Guruji at E.G.S. Center
Anusuchit Jati Para, Rahod
R/o Village- Rahod P.S.
Rahod via Kharod, Tehsil-
Pamgarh, Janjgir Champa.

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary
Department of Panchayat
Mantralaya, Shastri Chowk,
Raipur.

2. Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat Pamgarh
Pamgarh, Janjgir Champa.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC. AND FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE DIRECTIONSOR ORDERS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.555/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | 17.11.2003<br>Shri Prashant Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner.<br>Shri Rajesh Pandey, G.A. for the State/Respondents.<br>In this case notice was issued to respondents on 20.04.2001.<br>Return has been filed on behalf of Respondent No.2-Janapad Panchayat, Pamgarh, where the petitioner was working.<br>In the return, it is stated that on an inspection made by the Block Education Officer in the Education Guarantee Centre and that the first Guruji was also not woman. It is further stated that the report of the inspection was submitted before the committee and the committee recommended removal of the Guruji and the Collector, who also happens to be the District Mission Director under the Rajiv Gandhi Shiksha Mission also wrote for the removal of the Second Guruji and as such the petitioner was removed from the post of Guruji vide order dated 12.11.99.<br>It is submitted that the petitioner has already been relieved as per Annexure P/6 dt.12.11.99.<br>Shri Pandey, G.A. submits that paragraph 5 of Education Guarantee Scheme (Annexure P/1) is relevant | |

[पीछे देखिये

..2..

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित ..2.. | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

to the effect that Gurujis could have preferred an appel against their grievances. He further submits that the petitioner had not done.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the main grievance of the petitioner that the payment of salary from 15.1 01998 to 12.11.1999 i.e. about 11 months has not been paid to the petitioner.

Since a short question involved in this petition, the same is disposed of finally with the consent of counsel for parties.

Having heard and considering the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to respondents that if the petitioner is ~~permitted to~~ make a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this petition to the Competent Authority within 6 weeks from today and if such representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided by the Competent Authority as early as possible preferably within 12 weeks thereafter on its merits in accordance with law.

C.C

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Anjani/-

[पीछे देखिये